

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,039	08/18/2003	Frank W. Chan	1671-0274	3577
75	590 07/14/2004		EXAMINER	
Paul J. Maginot			MELSON, CANDICE C	
Maginot, Moore & Bowman Bank One Center/Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3000			3732	
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5115			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

I and the second			Mi
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	10/643,039	CHAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u>I</u>
	Candice C. Melson	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicator of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this common the mailing date of the	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	•	• •	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 20-32 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-19 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 2003</u> i	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	•	` ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap ne priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 	, —	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/18/03</u> .	· 7 1	ormal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/643,039

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 20-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 38,42-44,39, and 38 of U.S. Patent No. 6,660,040. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between Claims 20-25 of the application and Claims 38,42-44,39, and 38 of the patent lies in the fact that the patent claims include many more elements and are thus much more specific. Thus the invention of Claims 38,42-44,39 and 38 is

Application/Control Number: 10/643,039

Art Unit: 3732

in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of Claims 20-25. It has been held that the generic inventions is "anticipated" by the "species". See In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Since Claims 20-25 are anticipated by Claims 38,42-44,39, and 38 of the patent, they are not patentably distinct from Claims 38,42-44,39, and 38.

Regarding Claims 26-32, the invention defined by the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,660,040 lack the components being made from the different materials. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select any of the claimed materials for the components of the prosthesis in the invention defined by the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,660,040, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Candice C. Melson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8128. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Candice C. Melson

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700